1	Neal, Gerber & Eisenberg LLP		
2	Karl R. Barnickol		
3	Tonya G. Newman Two North LaSalle Street		
4	Suite 1700		
5	Chicago, IL 60602-3801 Telephone: (312) 269-8000		
6	Facsimile: (312) 269-1747		
7	Attorneys for Defendants Walters Vlayver Health Inc. and Walters		
8	Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. and Wolters Kluwer United States Inc.		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	IN RE: INCRETIN-BASED	MDL NO. 2452	
13	THERAPIES PRODUCTS	Case No. 13-MD-2452	
14	LIABILITY LITIGATION	DEFENDANT WOLTERS KLUWER	
15 16	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Civil Action No.: 14-cv-00360-AJB- MDD Ti	UNITED STATES INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
17		Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia	
18		Date: May 22, 2014	
19		Time: 2:00 p.m.	
20		Courtroom: 3B	
21			
22	Dohme Corp.; H.D. Smith Wholesale Drug Co.; Smith Medical LLC;		
23	Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc., and Wolters Kluwer United States Inc.		
24	Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant		
25			
26	Wolters Kluwer United States Inc. ("WKUS") hereby moves to dismiss Plaintiff's		
27	First Amended Complaint. In support of its motion, and as set forth more fully in		
28			

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1

its Memorandum filed contemporaneously herewith, WKUS states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff, Danitta Rinder, filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") alleging her deceased spouse suffered pancreatic cancer as a result of an adverse reaction to the prescription drug Januvia.
- 2. The FAC suggests that three groups of defendants are responsible for Plaintiff's injuries:
 - (a) Merck Sharp & Dohme Corporation ("Merck"), the manufacturer of Januvia;
 - (b) H.D. Smith Wholesale Drug Company and Smith Medical Partners (together "H.D. Smith"), distributors of prescription drugs; and
 - (c) Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. ("WKH"), a publisher of drug information databases, including the generalized patient drug education monograph information ("PEM") identified by Plaintiffs as the basis for their claims, and WKUS, a separate entity from WKH that is <u>not</u> involved in publishing drug information, let alone PEM information.
- 3. As set forth in its accompanying Memorandum, Plaintiff's claims against WKUS are misplaced because that entity is not involved in any way in publishing information about Januvia or any other drug. Conclusory allegations that WKUS was somehow involved in managing WKH in an unidentified way are not enough (and there is no factual support for such allegations anyway) for the Court to conclude that WKUS is a proper party.
- 4. WKUS, therefore, respectfully requests that the Court dismiss with prejudice Plaintiffs' claims against WKUS.

1	5. In addition, WKUS adopts the arguments of WKH as set forth in its		
2 3	Memorandum in support of its Motion to Dismiss. Each of these arguments		
4	provides additional independent bases for the Court to dismiss Plaintiffs' claims		
5	against WKUS with prejudice.		
67	WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, and as set forth in detail in		
8	its supporting Memorandum and the Memorandum of Defendant Wolters Kluwe		
9	Health, Inc., Wolters Kluwer United States Inc. respectfully requests that thi		
10 11	Honorable Court dismiss with prejudice Plaintiffs' claims against it. Alternatively		
12	Defendant Wolters Kluwer United States Inc. respectfully requests that this Cour		
13 14	enter judgment in their favor and against Plaintiffs on Counts 25-27 of the FAC.		
15			
16	Dated: March 19, 2014 Neal, Gerber & Eisenberg LLP		
17			
18	By: /s/ Karl R. Barnickol		
19 20	Karl R. Barnickol Tonya G. Newman		
20	Attorney for Defendant Wolters Kluwer United States Inc.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
40			

1	CERTIFICATI	E OF SERVICE
2 3	Karl R. Barnickol, an attorney, hereby certifies that he caused a copy of the	
4	foregoing Defendant Wolters Kluwer United States Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss to	
567	be served on: Jacob W. Plattenberger	Stephanie A. Scharf
8	jplattenberger@torhoermanlaw.com Kenneth Brennan kbrennan@torhoermanlaw.com Magdalena Halbowicz	Sscharf@scharfbanks.com George D. Sax gsax@scharfbanks.com Jean Casserly jcasserly@scharfbanks.com
10 11	mhalbowicz@torhoermanlaw.com TorHoerman Law LLC 234 South Wabash, 7th Floor	Scharf Banks Marmor LLC 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 450 Chicago, Illinois 60606
12 13	Chicago, Illinois 60604 Counsel for Plaintiffs	Counsel for Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.
14 15 16 17 18	Timothy S. Tomasik tim@tkklawfirm.com Tomasik Kotin Kasserman, LLC 10 South LaSalle Street, Suite 2920 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Counsel for Plaintiffs	F. Lane Heard lheard@wc.com Eva P. Esber eesber@wc.com M. Elaine Horn ehorn@wc.com Williams & Connolly LLP 725 12th St. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Counsel for Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Richard J. Leamy, Jr. rjleamy@wmlaw.com Rachel Nevarez rsnevarez@wmlaw.com J. Jason Coggins jjcoggins@wmlaw.com Wiedner & McAuliffe 1 North Franklin Street, Suite 1900 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Counsel for H.D. Smith Wholesale Drug Co. and Smith Medical Partners LLC	

NEAL, GERBER & EISENBERG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

28

1	via electronic filing using the United States District for the Southern District of
2	California CM/ECF system which sent notification of such filing on the 19th day of
3	
4	March, 2014.
5	
6	
7	/s/ Karl R. Barnickol
8	Karl R. Barnickol
9	
10	NGEDOCS: 2159793.1
11	
12	
13	
1415	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

NEAL, GERBER & EISENBERG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW